

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439
2 dbergeson@be-law.com
3 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373
4 mmorton@be-law.com
5 DONALD P. GAGLIARDI, Bar No. 138979
6 dgagliardi@be-law.com
7 JOHN W. FOWLER, Bar No. 037463
8 jfowler@be-law.com
9 BERGESON, LLP
10 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500
11 San Jose, CA 95110-2712
12 Telephone: (408) 291-6200
13 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 VERIGY US, INC.

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 SAN JOSE DIVISION

19 VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

20 Plaintiff,

21 vs.

22 ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;
23 WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON
24 TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;
25 and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a
26 California Limited Liability Corporation,
27 inclusive,

28 Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

Judge: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
Ctrm: 6

1 Now before the Court is Verigy's Administrative Motion For Leave To File Documents
2 Under Seal. Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under
3 Seal and the supporting declaration of Melinda M. Morton filed therewith, the Court finds there to
4 be good cause for granting Plaintiff's request to file documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 6 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the
7 right of public access to the record in the following documents: Portions of
8 the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Defendants'
9 Motion for Summary Adjudication and for Modification of Preliminary
10 Injunction; Portions of the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in
11 Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Adjudication as to
12 Verigy's Third-Fifth Claims for Relief; Portions of the Declaration of Wei
13 Wei in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Adjudication and
14 for Modification of Preliminary Injunction and Exs. B, D, and E thereto;
15 Exhibits A-D of the Morton Decl. in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for
16 Summary Adjudication as to Verigy's Third-Fifth Claims for Relief; and
17 Exs. A-C of the Stebbins Decl. in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for
18 Summary Adjudication and for Modification of Preliminary Injunction.
- 19 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;
- 20 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality
21 interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
- 22 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 23 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Verigy's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under
2 Seal is GRANTED.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: _____, 2008

5 _____
6 Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28